

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,063	01/04/2001	Gebhard Michenfelder	10191/1583 9602 EXAMINER	
26646	7590 07/22/2005			
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY			STAFIRA, MICHAEL PATRICK	
NEW YORK			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

O9/673,063

MICHENFELDER ET AL

Examiner

Michael P. Stafira

Applicant(s)

MICHENFELDER ET AL

2877

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	1.64.0				
	Michael P. Stafira	2877	1				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
HE REPLY FILED 12 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or							
<ul> <li>(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the</li> </ul>	date of the final rejection. isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whicheve					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI.). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	RST REPLY WAS FILED ) and the appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	nsion fee have n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a supplemental of the companion	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal c	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered t	pecause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO						
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). 7/12/05					
13.  Other:		Michael P. Stafira	$\overline{}$				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2877					
	•						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combined reference of Hochstein (4,960,996) with Teder (5,661,303) discloses a ambient light sensor that is sensitive to visible light and therefore reads on the claimed limitation. The claim limitation only discloses that one have a ambient light sensor that is sensitive to visiable light and does not disclose the operation of the element realtive to the other claimed limitations and therefore the combination of Hochstein with Teder would be proper.